Fingerboards Mineral Sands Project Inquiry and Advisory Committee - EES

	-	No - but please email me a copy of the Timetable and any Directions
Full Name:	Christian Beasley	
Organisation:		
Affected property:	Lindenow South 3875	
Attachment 1:		
Attachment 2:		
Attachment 3:		
Comments:	Bridge replacement) is stated as preferred and environmental impact of all product to discussed such as the Bairnsdale rail siding trucks to transport product on community and social impact. What would events wo	ransport options, but other options are g. Bairnsdale rail siding would require y roads and have a far larger environment ould preclude the take up of the Fernbank par commit to taking up this option which is anot 100% commit to the Fernbank Rail



Fingerboards Mineral Sands Project Inquiry and Advisory Committee - EES

Request to be heard?: No		
Full Name: Organisation:	Christian Beasley	
Affected property:	2615 Princes Hwy Lindenow South 3875	
Attachment 1:		
Attachment 2:		
Attachment 3:		
Comments:	The Groundwater study states a 1.3% change to water flows of the Mitchell River from the Project, whilst the Overview document states a 0.5% change to Mitchell River flows, can Kalbar confirm the true impact to Mitchell River flows? The Groundwater study quotes operational mine life of 15 years for the Project, whilst the Roads impact study states a mine life of 20 years, can Kalbar confirm the operational mine life?	



Fingerboards Mineral Sands Project Inquiry and Advisory

Committee - EES

	Request to be heard?:	No - but please email me a copy of the Timetable and any Directions
Full Name:	Christian Beasley	
Organisation:		
Affected property:		
Attachment 1:		
Attachment 2:		
Attachment 3:		
Comments:	The mitigation measures for biodiversity are not specific, the mitigation measures outlined such as having a Biodiversity Management Plan and establishing no go zones without much detail on what this actually mitigates and how effective these mitigation measures will be. Can Kalbar be more specific on what on ground biodiversity mitigation measures will be and what they aim to achieve? For example, how many native indigenous trees do they plan to protect, where will the no go zones be established, are these no go zones for construction or operation or both? The EES talks to the vegetation offsets but offsets should be a last resort and preventing impact the priority. Preventing biodiversity mitigation measures and targets should be provided by Kalbar.	



Fingerboards Mineral Sands Project Inquiry and Advisory Committee - EES

Request to be heard?: No		
	Full Name: Organisation:	Christian Beasley
	Affected property: Attachment 1: Attachment 2: Attachment 3:	2615 Princes Hwy Lindenow South 3875
	Comments:	For Rehabilitation and Closure; What is the expected change in landform elevation? There is information around topography and slope impact but what is the expected drop in elevation post mine due to the removal of the ore body? The conceptual mine model indicates up to 10m of ore will be removed, how much tailings material will be put back? What will happen with the tailings dams final form, will dam walls be removed and tailings dams contoured? Effective revegetation post mine will be dependent on soil fertility, what are Kalbar's proposed management measures to ensure topsoil is managed during mining to ensure it meets rehabilitation and vegetation targets? The current studies say these are yet to be defined. What is the area of open mine per year, what is the area planned to be cleared per year? What is planned to be rehabilitated per year? What is the expected commencement date of rehabilitation? Without more detailed rehabilitiation and revegetation targets and commitments, the Project should not be approved.

