

1 Spring Street Melbourne Victoria 3000 GPO Box 2392 Melbourne, Victoria 3001 Telephone (03) 8392 5115

25 February 2021

Kalbar Operations Pty Ltd

C/- White and Case Lawyers

Via email

Dear Mr Power

Fingerboards Mineral Sands Project – Inquiry and Advisory Committee Second Request for Information - Centrifuges

The Fingerboards Mineral Sands Project Inquiry and Advisory Committee (IAC) adopts the same general declaration as per the first Request for Information (RFI – Tabled Document 16).

As per Direction 29 of IAC directions dated 19 February 2021, Kalbar Operations Pty Ltd (the Proponent) must address the following queries by **midday (12.00pm) on Friday 12 March 2021** and provide:

- C1. An overall statement of suggested quantified (where possible) or qualified changes in environment effects (positive and negative) of the Project across the different environment effect areas of the Project.
- C2. A summary table of changes to the Project due to the introduction of centrifuges, including information on which technical report conclusions require revisiting to consider centrifuges.
- C3. Further information on how mineral sands processing is proposed to be managed on site should the centrifuges be implemented and unsuccessful at a commercial scale. Technical Note 01 (TN01; Tabled Document 43) states centrifuges have been previously evaluated and trialled but not used in mineral sands applications.
- C4. The quantity of flocculant proposed to be used in the centrifuge process and how this chemical will be stored and managed to protect the surrounding environment.
- C5. Information on the chemical composition and soil structure of the solid cake material following treatment with flocculant which demonstrates it is appropriate for overburden use, reseeding and vegetation growth.
- C6. Information on the likely impacts on waterways and streams from the introduction of flocculant into the Project area.
- C7. Updated water balance which considers the use of centrifuges including updated estimates of surface water and ground water demand.
- C8. Power requirements and power availability for operation of the centrifuges.
- C9. Further detail on the size of the cake stockpile (e.g. average/maximum) and how the cake stockpile will be managed to address any environmental risks e.g. run-off/surface water impacts of the stockpile during a high rainfall event / flooding or other expected environmental issues.



- C10. Clarification around the supplementary noise evidence (Tabled Document 124) suggesting front end loaders (FEL) may be working on the cake stockpile 24/7. Is this correct or will FELs only be used for truck loading during the day?
- C11. An assessment of the landscape/visual impacts of the proposed centrifuge buildings.

In providing the above, the Proponent should consider the statements and limitation in supplementary evidence statements around centrifuges including (but not limited to):

- 1. Tabled Document 127, Section 2 (Dr Cheetham) noted no updated hydraulic or water balance modelling available at the time of his statement.
- 2. Tabled Document 128, Para 12 (Dr Loch) question around manufactured subsoil.
- 3. Tabled Document 131, Section 3, (Mr Weidmann) has not been provided with revised design surfaces.
- 4. Tabled Document 135, Section 5.2.3, (Mr Sweeney) further investigation of process water quality still needed.

If you have any queries please contact Amy Selvaraj, Senior Project Officer at <u>Fingerboards.IAC@delwp.vic.gov.au</u>.

Yours sincerely

Muholas Winbuch

Nick Wimbush Chair, Inquiry and Advisory Committee